Linguistic Basis of Expressing the Meaning of Modality

A. Haydarov [1], F. Tosheva [2]

Abstract: This article discusses about a rich array of grammatical, cross-linguistic, and pragmatic dimensions of modality expression. By examining how languages encode and convey modality, linguists gain deeper insights into the complexities of human communication and the ways speakers navigate uncertainty and social interaction through language.

Key words: epistemic modality, deontic modality, phrasal constructions, syntactic patterns, linguistic expressions.

Modality, a fundamental aspect of human language, allows speakers to convey a range of meanings related to possibility, necessity, permission, and ability. Understanding the linguistic foundations of modality expression provides insights into how language users navigate uncertainty, assert certainty, and convey various levels of commitment in communication. Modality serves as a lens through which speakers navigate uncertainty and negotiate social interactions. Understanding the linguistic foundations of modality expression is essential for unraveling the intricacies of human communication. The linguistic landscape of modality is rich and diverse, exhibiting cross-linguistic variation in how speakers encode and express modal meanings. Languages employ a range of strategies, including morphological markers, analytic constructions, and pragmatic considerations, to convey modality effectively in communication. This article explores the grammatical, cross-linguistic, and pragmatic dimensions of modality expression, shedding light on how language users navigate uncertainty and assert various levels of commitment in discourse. By delving into the linguistic foundations of modality, we gain deeper insights into the complexities of human communication and the intricate ways in which language shapes our understanding of the world.

Grammatical Modalities:

In linguistics, modality is often categorized into two main types: epistemic modality and deontic modality.

Epistemic Modality: This aspect of modality is central to how speakers interpret and convey information, shaping their understanding of the world and influencing decision-making processes.

➢ Epistemic modality encompasses expressions of certainty, possibility, probability, and necessity. In English, modal verbs such as "must," "might," "could," and "may" are commonly used to convey epistemic meanings. One of the primary linguistic mechanisms for expressing epistemic modality is through modal verbs. In English, modal verbs such as "must," "might," "could," and "may" are commonly used to indicate varying degrees of certainty or possibility. For example, "She must be at home" conveys a high degree of certainty, while "She might be at home" suggests a lower level of certainty or a possibility.

➢ Other linguistic devices, such as adverbs ("probably," "possibly") and adjectives ("certain," "likely"), also contribute to expressing epistemic modality. Additionally, adverbs and adjectives play a crucial role in expressing epistemic modality. Adverbs such as "probably," "possibly," "likely," and "certainly" provide further nuance to the speaker's assessment of the likelihood of an event or

---

[1] Professor at Bukhara State University

[2] 1st year Master student

situation. Similarly, adjectives like "certain," "probable," and "unlikely" contribute to the expression of certainty or probability in linguistic expressions.

➢ Beyond individual lexical items, syntactic structures also contribute to the expression of epistemic modality. For instance, the use of modal auxiliary verbs in combination with the base form of the main verb ("She may leave early") or the perfective aspect ("She may have left early") allows speakers to convey different shades of meaning regarding the likelihood or certainty of an event in the past, present, or future[1].

Furthermore, contextual factors and pragmatic considerations play a crucial role in interpreting and conveying epistemic modality. Speaker attitude, evidently, and the nature of the information being conveyed influence how epistemic modality is expressed and understood in discourse. For example, the presence of supporting evidence or the speaker's level of confidence in the information being conveyed may affect the choice of linguistic expressions used to convey epistemic modality.

2. Deontic Modality: This aspect of modality plays a crucial role in regulating human interactions, conveying expectations, and negotiating social roles and responsibilities.

➢ Deontic modality deals with expressions of permission, obligation, necessity, and ability. Modal verbs like "can," "must," "should," and "ought to" are frequently employed to convey deontic meanings. In English, modal verbs such as "can," "must," "should," and "ought to" are commonly used to indicate various degrees of obligation, permission, and ability. For example, "You must attend the meeting" conveys a strong obligation, while "You should attend the meeting" suggests a recommendation or advice.

Deontic modality can be further classified into several categories:

1. Obligation: This expresses what someone is required or obligated to do. Examples include "must," "have to," "should," and "ought to." For instance, "You must finish your homework" indicates a mandatory obligation.

2. Permission: This indicates what someone is allowed or permitted to do. Examples include "may" and "can." For instance, "You may leave the room" grants permission to leave.

3. Prohibition: This indicates what someone is not allowed to do. Examples include "must not" and "cannot." For instance, "You must not smoke in this area" prohibits smoking.

4. Advice or suggestion: This suggests what someone ought or should do, without implying strict obligation. For instance, "You should eat more vegetables" provides advice.

➢ Additionally, phrases and constructions, such as "have to," "need to," and "be supposed to," serve as markers of deontic modality. Additionally, phrasal constructions and syntactic patterns contribute to the expression of deontic modality. Expressions like "have to," "need to," "be supposed to," and "be allowed to" provide alternative ways of conveying obligations, permissions, and abilities in linguistic expressions. These constructions often interact with modal verbs to convey nuanced meanings regarding the necessity, permissibility, or capability of performing certain actions.

The main differences between deontic and epistemic modality lie in their functions and the types of information they convey:

➢ Function:

Deontic modality: Focuses on expressing permission, obligation, prohibition, or advice regarding actions or behaviors within a specific context. It deals with norms, rules, and regulations governing behavior.

Epistemic modality: Focuses on expressing the speaker's degree of certainty, belief, doubt, or probability regarding the truthfulness or likelihood of a proposition. It deals with the speaker's knowledge, beliefs, assumptions, or opinions about the world.

➢ Information conveyed:

Deontic modality: Conveys information about what is required, permitted, or forbidden within a particular situation or set of circumstances. It involves societal expectations, moral principles, and legal regulations.

Epistemic modality: Conveys information about the speaker's assessment of the probability or certainty of a given statement within a particular context. It involves the speaker's knowledge, beliefs, assumptions, or opinions about the truthfulness of propositions.

➢ Examples:

Deontic modality: "You must attend the meeting" (obligation), "You may leave the room" (permission), "You must not park here" (prohibition), "You should study for the exam" (advice).

Epistemic modality: "It may rain tomorrow" (probability), "She must be at home" (certainty), "He might be late" (doubt), "You must be tired" (necessity inferred from observable evidence).

Cross-linguistically, languages exhibit diverse strategies for expressing deontic modality. While some languages rely heavily on modal verbs and phrasal constructions, others employ inflectional or derivational morphology to indicate deontic meanings. For example, Romance languages like Spanish and French use verb conjugations to express obligations, permissions, and abilities, reflecting cultural norms and social expectations regarding behavior. Furthermore, pragmatic considerations play a crucial role in interpreting and conveying deontic modality in discourse. Context, social hierarchy, and speaker authority influence how deontic modality is expressed and understood in communication. For instance, the same linguistic expression may convey different degrees of obligation or permission depending on the social context and the relationship between the speaker and the listener.

To conclude, by examining how languages encode and express modality, linguists gain deeper insights into the diverse ways in which human languages reflect and shape cultural norms, social expectations, and cognitive processes. Understanding modality expression not only enhances our knowledge of language structure and use but also sheds light on the complexities of human cognition and communicative needs, the study of modality expression remains a fruitful area of inquiry for linguists and scholars alike. By unraveling the linguistic foundations of modality, we not only deepen our understanding of human communication but also appreciate the richness and diversity of languages across cultures and societies.

REFERENCES:

1. https://www.eltconcourse.com/training/inservice/modality/types_of_modality.html

2. Winter S, Gardenfors P. Linguistic Modality as Expressions of Social Power. Nordic Journal of Linguistics. 1995;18(2): 137-165.

3. J.Suhadi. Episdemic Modality and Deontic Modality: Two Sides of a Coin. JULISA, 2011; 156-179.

4. Askarovich, H. A., & Zarifovna, R. N. (2021). SEMANTICS OF EUPHEMISM IN BUSINESS LANGUAGE. Conferencious Online, 19–22.

5. Haydarov Anvar Askarovich. (2022). Phonostylistic Repetition. *Indonesian Journal of Innovation Studies*, *18*. https://doi.org/10.21070/ijins.v18i.618

6. Askarovich, H. A. (2022, January). So'z Ma'nolari Intensivligi. In *Integration Conference on Integration of Pragmalinguistics, Functional Translation Studies and Language Teaching Processes* (pp. 13-15).

7. Navruzova, N., & Haydarov, A. (2022). КОННОТАТИВНЫЕ ЗНАЧЕНИЯ, СВЯЗАННЫЕ С ЗВУКОВЫМИ ИЗМЕНЕНИЯМИ. ЦЕНТР НАУЧНЫХ ПУБЛИКАЦИЙ (buxdu. Uz), 8 (8). *ЦЕНТР НАУЧНЫХ*.

8. Khaydarov, A. A. (2020). Expression of connotative meaning in onomatopoeia. *Scientific reports of Bukhara State University*, *4*(5), 76-80.

9. Askarovich, H. A. (2021). EXPRESSION OF CONNOTATIVE MEANING IN GRAPHIC MEANS. International Engineering Journal For Research & Development, 6 (TITFL), 91–94.

10. Haydarov, A. A. (2023). KOMBINATOR VA POZITSION FONETIK O'ZGARISHLARNING O'ZIGA XOS XUSUSIYATLARI (INGLIZ VA O'ZBEK TILLARI MISOLIDA). *SUSTAINABILITY OF EDUCATION, SOCIO-ECONOMIC SCIENCE THEORY*, *1*(6), 172-175.

11. Haydarov Anvar Askarovich, & Ruziyeva Nafisa Zarifovna. (2021). SEMANTICS OF EUPHEMISM IN BUSINESS LANGUAGE. *Conferencious Online*, 19–22. Retrieved from https://conferencious.com/index.php/conferences/article/view/52

12. Haydarov, A. (2020). Methodological features of graphic tools. Middle European Scientific Bulletin, 5.

13. Askarovich, H. A., & Nilufar, R. (2023). DENOTATIVE AND CONNOTATIVE MEANINGS IN WORD SEMANTICS. Finland International Scientific Journal of Education. *Social Science & Humanities*, *11*(2), 393-399.

14. Askarovich, H. A. (2022). SOME COMMENTS ON THE STYLISTIC REPETITION. JournalNX-A Multidisciplinary Peer Reviewed Journal, 8 (1), 87–91.

15. Саидова, З. Х. (2016). Использование новых технологий на уроках английского языка. *Молодой ученый*, (7), 703-706. https://elibrary.ru/item.asp?id=25863422.

16. Саидова, З. Х. (2016). Обучение в сотрудничестве. *Молодой ученый*, (7), 701-703. https://elibrary.ru/item.asp?id=25863421.

17. Khudoyberdievna, S. Z. (2022). The main features of translation of phraseology from english into uzbek. *Scientific Impulse*, *1*(3), 523-526. https://nauchniyimpuls.ru/index.php/ni/article/view/1024.

18. Saidova Zulfizar Khudoyberdievna Psychological bases 0f the development moral and aethetic outlook of future vocational teachers // Научный журнал. 2017. №3 (16). URL: https://cyberleninka.ru/article/n/psychological-bases-0f-the-development-moral-and-aethetic-outlook-of-future-vocational-teachers.

19. Saidova Zulfizar Khudoyberdievna Psychological bases 0f the development moral and aethetic outlook of future vocational teachers // Научный журнал. 2017. №3 (16). URL: https://cyberleninka.ru/article/n/psychological-bases-0f-the-development-moral-and-aethetic-outlook-of-future-vocational-teachers.

20. Khudoyberdievna, S. Z. (1871). THE STUDY OF PHRASEOLOGY AND COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF PHRASEOLOGISMS REFLECTING THE SPIRITUAL STATE OF HUMAN. *International Engineering Journal For Research & Development*, *6*, 222-225.

21. Khudoyberdievna, S. Z. (2021). Analysis of the concepts of emotions in Russian and English phraseological picture of the world. *Pindus Journal of Culture, Literature, and ELT*, *2*, 11-18.

22. Saidova Zulfizar Khudoyberdievna Teaching English through games // Научный журнал. 2017. №3 (16). URL: https://cyberleninka.ru/article/n/teaching-english-through-games.

23. Khudoyberdievna, S. Z. (2021). Language Expressing Psychoemotional State of Human. In *International conference on multidisciplinary research and innovative technologies* (Vol. 2, pp. 108-113).