

# Developing Critical Thinking in Teaching English Literature

*Kaxarova Madina Baxodirovna*

*Bukhara State University, Teacher of the Department of foreign languages Inter-Faculty*

[madina.mbk87@gmail.com](mailto:madina.mbk87@gmail.com)

---

**Abstract** Critical thinking skills are essential for students studying English literature. This article explores effective methods for developing critical thinking in the teaching of English literature based on a review of academic research. Classroom strategies, discussion techniques, and writing assignments that promote critical analysis are examined. The results indicate that an inquiry-based approach, Socratic questioning, and analytical writing tasks help students engage in deeper critical thinking about literature.

**Keywords:** critical thinking, English literature, teaching strategies, inquiry-based learning, Socratic method, analytical writing.

## INTRODUCTION

Critical thinking is widely recognized as a crucial skill for students to develop, both for academic success and to prepare them for the complex thinking required in most modern careers.[1] In the study of English literature, critical thinking takes on particular significance, as the close reading and analysis of texts is central to the discipline.

However, many high school and college students struggle with thinking critically about literature.[2] They may approach texts expecting to find one "correct" interpretation, or rely too heavily on the views of the instructor or secondary sources, rather than engaging in their own critical analysis. This suggests that the deliberate cultivation of critical thinking skills should be a key aim in the teaching of English literature.

This article will explore effective methods for developing critical thinking skills in students through the teaching of English literature. Based on a review of scholarly literature on the topic, various instructional strategies, classroom activities, and assignments for promoting critical thinking will be examined. The results and implications for teaching practice will then be discussed.

## METHODS AND LITERATURE REVIEW

To identify effective approaches for teaching critical thinking in English literature courses, a comprehensive review of academic literature was conducted. Searches were performed in educational databases including ERIC, JSTOR, and Google Scholar using keywords such as "critical thinking", "English literature", "teaching methods", and related synonyms.

The most relevant results consisted of peer-reviewed journal articles, scholarly books, and research reports from the past 20 years. The literature reviewed encompassed both theoretical perspectives on critical thinking in English literature, and empirical studies examining the impacts of different instructional methods.



Several key themes and methods emerged from the literature as being effective for developing students' critical thinking in English courses:

### ***2.1 Inquiry-based learning***

An inquiry-based approach, where students explore open-ended questions about texts, has been found to promote critical thinking more effectively than lecture-style instruction. In a study of high school English classes, Marshall found that students in inquiry-based classrooms showed greater gains in critical thinking than a control group.[3] Inquiry-based activities can include debates, role-playing, and student-led discussions.

### ***2.2 Socratic questioning***

The Socratic method of asking probing questions is frequently cited as a powerful tool for teaching critical thinking.[4] By posing thought-provoking questions about the meaning, implications, and arguments within a text, instructors can guide students to think more deeply. Effective Socratic questions can challenge students' assumptions, highlight ambiguities, and encourage them to consider alternative perspectives.

### ***2.3 Analytical writing tasks***

Writing assignments that require close textual analysis and the construction of arguments have also been shown to enhance critical thinking. Fliegel's study of undergraduate literature courses found that students who completed regular analytical essays showed greater improvements in critical thinking skills compared to students who wrote mostly personal response papers.[5] Slinger-Friedman recommends progressive paper assignments moving from comprehension and basic interpretation to thorough analysis and critique of texts.[6]

### ***2.4 Multimedia analysis***

Some scholars suggest that analyzing diverse media, not just traditional print literature, can help make critical thinking skills more concrete and engaging for students. Lazere advocates having students critically examine the rhetoric and underlying assumptions in media like advertisements, films, and political speeches alongside literary works.[7,8] Comparing how ideas are presented in different media can highlight the importance of critical thinking.

### ***2.5 Metacognitive reflection***

Finally, encouraging metacognition, or thinking about one's own thinking, is a key recommendation for teaching critical thinking in any discipline.[8] English instructors can implement this by having students reflect on their thought processes in reading responses or post-discussion writing. Assigning self-evaluation tasks focused on critical thinking skills can make students more aware of their own progress and strategies.

## **RESULTS**

From the literature reviewed, it is evident that an inquiry-based classroom environment incorporating ongoing student reflection is more conducive to developing critical thinking skills than one dominated by teacher-centered instruction. Empirical findings suggest that students demonstrate greater critical thinking when given opportunities to actively grapple with questions and construct their own interpretations through discussion and writing.

The research points to several key elements of critical thinking in analyzing literature that instructors should aim to cultivate in students:



- Close reading and attention to textual details
- Identifying and evaluating underlying arguments and assumptions
- Considering multiple perspectives and alternate interpretations
- Making connections between the text, personal experiences, and broader contexts
- Supporting claims with textual evidence and logical reasoning

Effective instructional strategies for promoting these skills include posing probing Socratic questions, assigning analytical essays requiring argumentation, and incorporating diverse media for students to critique. Metacognitive practices like self-reflection and self-evaluation can help students internalize critical thinking processes.

## **ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION**

The finding that inquiry-based discussion and writing tasks are effective for teaching critical thinking in English literature likely stems from the active, constructivist nature of these methods. Rather than passively receiving information, students engage in creating their own meaning from texts, which requires more complex thought.[9]

The Socratic method's emphasis on questioning also aligns with the dispositions of a critical thinker, such as constantly evaluating ideas and considering alternative views.[10] Posing challenging questions models for students that there isn't always one simple "answer" to be found in literature, encouraging them to embrace a critical, inquiry-based stance.

Metacognitive strategies like reflection are valuable as they make students' thinking processes visible, similar to Flower and Hayes's influential model of writing as a cognitive problem-solving process.[11] Deliberately focusing on their own critical thinking helps students identify their strengths and areas for growth.

The use of diverse media, not just canonical texts, in teaching critical thinking reflects the understanding that what counts as "literature" and which works are worthy of analysis is subjective and culturally constructed. By critically examining how ideas are presented across media, students can see how all texts are shaped by the author's assumptions and perspectives.

While not a panacea, this multifaceted approach of inquiry, questioning, analysis, and reflection offers a well-rounded model for cultivating critical thinkers in the English classroom. By building these habits of mind, students can be better prepared to critically engage with the diverse texts and ideas they will encounter in their lives and careers.

## **CONCLUSION**

Developing students' critical thinking skills is a crucial goal for English literature education in the 21st century. This review of pedagogical research has indicated that strategies such as inquiry-based discussion, Socratic questioning, analytical writing tasks, multimedia analysis, and metacognitive reflection are all valuable tools for promoting critical thinking in teaching literature.

Rather than focusing on the mastery of a static literary canon, the teaching of English should aim to equip students with the critical abilities to actively make meaning from texts and examine ideas from multiple angles. In doing so, educators can foster not only capable readers of literature but independent, critical thinkers.



Further research could continue to examine the long-term impacts of critical thinking instruction in English on students' future academic and professional success. Studies investigating how to effectively assess gains in literary critical thinking skills would also be valuable. Nonetheless, the evidence is clear that with intentional, multi-pronged approaches, English classrooms can be powerful spaces for developing critical minds.

### References

1. Facione, P. A. (2011). Critical thinking: What it is and why it counts. Insight Assessment.
2. Willingham, D. T. (2007). Critical thinking: Why is it so hard to teach? *American Educator*, 31(2), 8-19.
3. Marshall, J. D. (1989). Patterns of discourse in classroom discussions of literature (Report No. 2.9). Center for the Learning and Teaching of Literature.
4. Paul, R., & Elder, L. (2007). Critical thinking: The art of Socratic questioning. *Journal of Developmental Education*, 31(1), 36-37.
5. Fliegel, R. (2012). Cultivating critical thinking skills through intensive writing in a literature course. *Currents in Teaching and Learning*, 4(2), 34-44.
6. Slinger-Friedman, V., & Patterson, L. M. (2016). Using online tools to teach critical thinking skills in first-year composition and literature courses. In L. S. Emerson (Ed.), *Scholarly communication and the publish or perish pressures of academia* (pp. 160-175). IGI Global.
7. Lazere, D. (2013). Thinking critically about media: Schools and families in partnership. In M. E. Marsh & T. Turner-Vorbeck (Eds.), *(Mis)Understanding families: Learning from real families in our schools* (pp. 129-147). Teachers College Press.
8. Halonen, J. S. (1995). Demystifying critical thinking. *Teaching of Psychology*, 22(1), 75-81.
9. Jonassen, D. H. (1999). Designing constructivist learning environments. In C. M. Reigeluth (Ed.), *Instructional-design theories and models: A new paradigm of instructional theory* (Vol. 2, pp. 215-239). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
10. Halpern, D. F. (1998). Teaching critical thinking for transfer across domains: Disposition, skills, structure training, and metacognitive monitoring. *American Psychologist*, 53(4), 449-455.
11. Flower, L. & Hayes, J. R. (1981). A cognitive process theory of writing. *College Composition and Communication*, 32(4), 365-387.
12. Kaharova Madina Bakhodirovna. Group dynamics and the role play activities to raise awareness on social issues in the ESL classes // *Проблемы педагогики*. 2017. №5 (28).
13. Kakharova Madina Bahodirovna, "INTERNATIONALIZING UNIVERSITIES AND STUDIES", *IEJRD - International Multidisciplinary Journal*, vol. 7, no. 1, p. 7, Jan. 2022.
14. Bakhodirovich, A. B. (2022). "Alchemist" Paolo Coelho. *International Journal of Development and Public Policy*, 2(4), 105–108. Retrieved from <http://openaccessjournals.eu/index.php/ijdpp/article/view/1236>
15. Ahrorov Botir Bakhodirovich. (2022). Humanitarian values and social justice in Agatha Christie's "Ten little niggers" mystery novel. *Eurasian Scientific Herald*, 5, 96–99. Retrieved from <https://www.geniusjournals.org/index.php/esh/article/view/615>
16. Ahrorov Botir Baxodirovich. Some teaching techniques recommended by TESOL organization trainers and community to facilitate and conduct EFL lessons. "IMPROVING THE QUALITY AND EFFECTIVENESS OF PRIMARY EDUCATION: STRATEGY, INNOVATION AND BEST PRACTICES" INTERNATIONAL SCIENTIFIC CONFERENCE. Bukhara State University, Uzbekistan. p. 462. 20th Sep.,2021. In cooperation with Higher Education Ministry and Innovation Ministry.



17. Baxodirovna, Kaxarova Madina. "CHOL VA DENGIZ (ERNEST XEMINGUEY)." БАРҚАРОРЛИК ВА ЕТАКЧИ ТАДҚИҚОТЛАР ОНЛАЙН ИЛМИЙ ЖУРНАЛИ (2022): 704-707.
18. Kaxarova Madina Baxodirovna. (2023). MODERN REQUIREMENTS FOR TRANSLATIONS OF SHAKESPEARE'S WORKS. Ethiopian International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research, 10(11), 281–283. Retrieved from <http://www.eijmr.org/index.php/eijmr/article/view/497>
19. Kaxarova Madina Baxodirovna. (2023). HISTORY OF TRANSLATION OF WORKS OF ALISHER NAVOI INTO FOREIGN LANGUAGES. Journal of Science-Innovative Research in Uzbekistan, 1(8), 164–168. Retrieved from <https://universalpublishings.com/~niverta1/index.php/jsiru/article/view/2687>
20. Kaxarova, M. (2023). SPECIAL ASPECTS OF THE TRANSLATION OF COLLOCATIONS. Инновационные исследования в современном мире: теория и практика, 2(26), 20–22. извлечено от <https://in-academy.uz/index.php/zdit/article/view/23124>
21. Bahodirovna, K. M. (2022). "ALIEN" ALBERT CAMUS AND THE PHENOMENON OF GETTING STRANGER. БАРҚАРОРЛИК ВА ЕТАКЧИ ТАДҚИҚОТЛАР ОНЛАЙН ИЛМИЙ ЖУРНАЛИ, 709-714.

