## The Legal Status of Blockchain Technologies and the Regulation of Transactions

Nodirakhon Abdurakhmanova<sup>1</sup>

Abstract: Blockchain technologies have emerged as a transformative force in numerous sectors, with their potential to decentralize and secure digital transactions through cryptographic techniques. While blockchain initially gained prominence through cryptocurrencies like Bitcoin, its applications now extend beyond financial markets to fields such as healthcare, supply chains, and intellectual property. The legal status of blockchain and the regulation of transactions carried out through blockchain technologies, however, remain inconsistent and fragmented across international jurisdictions. This article explores the legal recognition of blockchain technologies, the challenges of regulating blockchain-based transactions, and the potential for harmonized international legal frameworks to ensure trust, security, and compliance in global trade and commerce.

**Keywords:** Blockchain, Smart Contracts, International Law, Legal Regulation, Cryptocurrencies, Cross-Border Transactions.

Blockchain, as a decentralized and immutable ledger technology, has fundamentally transformed the way transactions are recorded and verified by eliminating the need for intermediaries like banks or government authorities. Its key advantages—transparency, security, and efficiency—make it a powerful tool across various industries. However, the decentralized nature of blockchain also introduces significant challenges for legal regulation, especially at an international level. The lack of a central governing authority complicates efforts to create unified legal frameworks that can effectively regulate blockchain platforms. This article explores the current legal recognition of blockchain technologies around the world and analyzes how different jurisdictions are managing the regulation of transactions conducted through these systems.

Blockchain technology is fundamentally based on distributed ledger systems (DLS), which maintain and verify data across a decentralized network of computers. This structure ensures that no single entity has control over the information stored on the blockchain, promoting transparency and security. One of the most significant innovations introduced by blockchain is the concept of smart contracts self-executing contracts with the terms of the agreement directly written into code. These smart contracts automatically execute once predefined conditions are met, allowing for seamless and automated transactions without the need for intermediaries.

However, the decentralized and automated nature of blockchain introduces several complex legal challenges:

*Lack of legal recognition:* While some jurisdictions have formally acknowledged the legality of blockchain and smart contracts, many others have yet to develop clear regulations. In some countries, blockchain-based transactions and smart contracts are enforceable by law, but in others, there remains uncertainty about their validity and how they fit into existing legal frameworks. This lack of uniform recognition creates legal uncertainty for businesses and individuals using blockchain for various transactions, particularly in cross-border contexts.

*Jurisdictional issues:* Blockchain operates globally, across multiple jurisdictions, which complicates traditional legal principles such as jurisdiction and applicable law. In disputes involving blockchain-based transactions, it can be difficult to determine which country's laws apply, given the decentralized

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Lecturer at Tashkent State University of Law Tashkent, Uzbekistan

nature of the system. This raises questions about how to handle disputes when the parties involved are located in different legal jurisdictions and the transaction itself may not be tied to any specific location.

**Data privacy and protection:** Blockchain's transparency, where all transaction data is publicly accessible on the network, often conflicts with stringent data protection laws, such as the EU's General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR). For example, the immutability of blockchain records can make it difficult to comply with legal requirements such as *the right to be forgotten*, where individuals have the right to request the deletion of their personal data. This creates a tension between blockchain's core principles and the need for compliance with privacy laws designed to protect individuals' personal information.

**Regulation of cryptocurrencies:** Cryptocurrencies, a major application of blockchain technology, face varying degrees of regulation worldwide. Some countries, such as the U.S. and Japan, have developed comprehensive regulatory frameworks that govern the use, taxation, and exchange of cryptocurrencies. In contrast, other jurisdictions either lack clear guidelines or have imposed outright bans on cryptocurrency transactions, citing concerns over fraud, money laundering, and financial instability. This disparity in regulatory approaches creates challenges for international users and businesses relying on cryptocurrency for cross-border transactions.

These key legal challenges highlight the need for clearer regulatory frameworks that account for blockchain's decentralized nature while addressing issues such as legal recognition, jurisdiction, data privacy, and cryptocurrency regulation.

In the United States, blockchain technology is generally recognized, but regulatory approaches vary significantly between the federal and state levels. At the state level, some jurisdictions have taken a proactive stance. For instance, *Wyoming* has positioned itself as a leader in blockchain legislation, enacting laws that officially recognize the legal status of blockchain and smart contracts. Wyoming's legislation provides clarity on the enforceability of smart contracts and grants legal status to decentralized autonomous organizations (DAOs). This progressive approach has attracted blockchain businesses to the state. On the federal level, regulatory bodies like the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) have taken a more cautious approach, particularly concerning blockchain-based tokens. The SEC classifies certain blockchain tokens as securities, making them subject to the federal securities laws. This has led to increased scrutiny of initial coin offerings (ICOs) and other token-based fundraising methods, ensuring they comply with existing financial regulations.

In contrast, *the European Union* (EU) has taken a comprehensive and unified approach to regulating blockchain and crypto-assets. The 2020 Digital Finance Package, which includes the proposed Markets in Crypto-assets (MiCA) Regulation, seeks to create a harmonized regulatory framework for digital assets across EU member states. MiCA aims to provide legal certainty for crypto-assets that are not covered by existing financial regulations, ensuring consumer protection and market integrity. Additionally, the EU's regulatory framework places significant emphasis on compliance with data protection laws, particularly the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR). The GDPR presents unique challenges for blockchain, especially due to blockchain's immutability, which conflicts with certain GDPR provisions, such as the right to erasure or the right to be forgotten. The EU is actively seeking to address these tensions through new regulatory mechanisms that balance blockchain innovation with stringent data privacy standards.

In Asia, *Japan* has been a forerunner in the regulation of blockchain and cryptocurrencies. Japan was one of the first countries to formally regulate cryptocurrencies under its Payment Services Act. The legislation requires cryptocurrency exchanges to be registered with the Financial Services Agency (FSA), ensuring transparency, anti-money laundering (AML) compliance, and consumer protection. This regulatory clarity has made Japan a hub for cryptocurrency activity. On the other hand, *China* has adopted a far more restrictive approach. The Chinese government has banned cryptocurrency exchanges and initial coin offerings (ICOs), citing concerns over financial risk, capital outflow, and fraud. Despite this, China continues to explore blockchain applications in other sectors, such as supply chain management, where it sees blockchain's potential to enhance transparency and efficiency.

In *Uzbekistan*, the government has made significant progress toward integrating blockchain technology, particularly in the financial sector. Presidential Decree No. PP-3832, issued on July 3, 2018, laid the groundwork for the regulation of cryptocurrencies and blockchain technologies in the country. The decree legalized the operation of cryptocurrency exchanges and mining operations and set the stage for the broader adoption of blockchain. Uzbekistan sees blockchain as a key driver for the modernization of both its public and private sectors. The government has initiated several blockchain projects, particularly focused on public services, to improve transparency and efficiency. However, the legal framework for blockchain remains in development, particularly with regard to the application of smart contracts and the use of blockchain beyond financial services. As Uzbekistan continues to refine its approach, it is likely to become a regional leader in blockchain regulation.

Each of these jurisdictions exemplifies different approaches to blockchain regulation, shaped by local legal, economic, and political considerations. These differences highlight the complexity of developing global blockchain standards while fostering innovation and ensuring legal compliance.

Blockchain technology operates in a decentralized and borderless manner, which fundamentally challenges traditional legal frameworks that are based on the concept of sovereign jurisdiction. Because blockchain transactions often involve participants from multiple countries without any centralized authority, the application of national laws becomes complex. This decentralized nature introduces several key legal challenges at the international level:

*Cross-border enforcement of blockchain contracts:* One of the primary legal issues with blockchain is the enforcement of contracts executed on blockchain platforms, often involving parties from different jurisdictions. Traditional legal systems rely on territorial boundaries to determine applicable laws and enforce contracts, but blockchain disregards these boundaries. When a dispute arises, it becomes difficult to determine which jurisdiction's laws should apply and how the contract should be enforced. Although international arbitration has been proposed as a potential solution, the lack of consistent legal recognition of blockchain-based contracts across jurisdictions complicates this further. Arbitration proceedings would still need to grapple with differing national legal standards on the validity and enforceability of smart contracts.

*Regulatory arbitrage:* The global nature of blockchain technology enables parties to engage in "regulatory arbitrage," wherein they choose to conduct transactions in jurisdictions with more favorable or less stringent regulatory environments. This often leads businesses and individuals to exploit jurisdictions with lax regulations or unclear laws regarding blockchain, cryptocurrencies, or digital assets, undermining efforts by other countries to impose stricter legal standards. Such behavior can create an uneven regulatory playing field, where some jurisdictions become safe havens for activities that might be illegal or heavily regulated elsewhere, ultimately complicating international efforts to establish consistent and effective blockchain regulations.

*Money laundering and terrorist financing:* While blockchain's inherent transparency offers numerous benefits, certain features, particularly anonymity and pseudonymity, can be exploited for illicit purposes, such as money laundering and terrorist financing. Blockchain transactions can obscure the identity of the parties involved, which makes it easier for criminals to move illicit funds across borders without detection. The Financial Action Task Force (FATF), an intergovernmental organization, has issued guidelines to mitigate these risks by recommending that countries implement anti-money laundering (AML) and counter-terrorist financing (CTF) measures for blockchain transactions, such as requiring exchanges and other virtual asset service providers to comply with "Know Your Customer" (KYC) requirements. However, enforcement of these guidelines varies widely across different countries. Some jurisdictions have implemented robust regulatory frameworks, while others lack the necessary infrastructure or political will to enforce these measures effectively, further complicating the international regulation of blockchain.

These challenges illustrate the need for a more coordinated and harmonized global approach to blockchain regulation. Without consistent international standards, issues such as jurisdictional

uncertainty, regulatory arbitrage, and illicit activities will continue to hinder the development of a secure and legally sound blockchain ecosystem.

For blockchain to fully realize its transformative potential, there is an urgent need for international cooperation to establish a harmonized legal and regulatory framework. The decentralized and cross-border nature of blockchain technology demands a coordinated global approach to ensure consistency, security, and legal certainty. Achieving this harmonization will require a combination of international treaties, model laws, and collaboration between key jurisdictions through global organizations such as the G20, the United Nations, and the International Monetary Fund (IMF). These efforts will ensure that blockchain technologies can be widely adopted while minimizing risks related to enforcement, regulatory arbitrage, and jurisdictional conflict. Several key strategies can help build this international framework:

*International treaties and conventions:* Just as the New York Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards has created a uniform approach for recognizing international arbitration agreements, a similar international convention could be developed for blockchain-based contracts. Such a treaty would provide legal certainty by ensuring that smart contracts and blockchain transactions are recognized and enforceable across jurisdictions. This would facilitate cross-border commerce and dispute resolution, addressing one of the main challenges of blockchain's decentralized nature. An international convention could also establish uniform principles for addressing jurisdictional conflicts, determining the applicable law, and ensuring the enforceability of blockchain agreements in various legal systems.

**Regulatory sandboxes and international collaboration:** Regulatory sandboxes, as implemented in countries like the UK and Singapore, offer a flexible and controlled environment where innovators can test blockchain technologies with reduced regulatory constraints. These sandboxes allow regulators and businesses to assess the risks, benefits, and potential regulatory needs of emerging blockchain applications. Insights gained from these sandboxes could be shared on an international level, fostering collaboration between different jurisdictions. By promoting the sharing of best practices and regulatory insights, countries can develop more robust and effective legal frameworks that align with global standards, while also ensuring that blockchain innovations are not stifled by overly restrictive regulations.

**Developing international blockchain standards:** International bodies such as the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) are already making progress in establishing global standards for blockchain technologies. These standards aim to create a common technical and legal framework for blockchain systems, ensuring that they operate consistently and securely across different industries and jurisdictions. By aligning regulatory approaches with these technical standards, countries can ensure that blockchain technologies meet international benchmarks for security, data privacy, interoperability, and legal enforceability. This would help reduce the fragmentation of blockchain regulation and pave the way for smoother cross-border transactions, while also addressing concerns related to cybersecurity and data protection.

In conclusion, a concerted effort to harmonize blockchain regulation through international treaties, regulatory collaboration, and the development of global standards is essential for the technology to thrive on a global scale. Only through coordinated international action can the potential of blockchain be fully harnessed, while mitigating the risks and uncertainties posed by its decentralized nature. As blockchain continues to evolve, governments, international organizations, and industry stakeholders must work together to create a legal environment that fosters innovation while ensuring compliance, security, and fairness in the global blockchain ecosystem.

Blockchain technologies offer immense potential, but their full benefits can only be realized through coordinated international efforts to address the legal and regulatory challenges they pose. By aligning legal frameworks and fostering cross-border cooperation, the global community can create a foundation for the widespread adoption of blockchain, enabling its secure, transparent, and compliant use in industries worldwide.

## References

- 1. Abdurakhmanova, Nodirakhon. "Smart kontraktlarni tartibga solish va amaliyotga tadbiq qilish." International Conference on Legal Sciences. Vol. 1. No. 1. 2023.
- Abdurakhmanova, Nodirakhon. "PROSPECTS FOR SETTLEMENT OF SMART CONTRACTS IN THE REPUBLIC OF UZBEKISTAN." American Journal Of Social Sciences And Humanity Research 3.02 (2023): 77-81.
- 3. Antonopoulos, A. M. (2017). Mastering Bitcoin: Unlocking Digital Cryptocurrencies (2nd ed.). O'Reilly Media.
- 4. European Commission. (2020). Digital Finance Package: Digital Finance Strategy and Legislative Proposals on Crypto-Assets, DLT, and Cyber-Resilience. Retrieved from https://ec.europa.eu/info/publications/200924-digital-finance-proposals en
- 5. Financial Action Task Force (FATF). (2021). Updated Guidance for a Risk-Based Approach to Virtual Assets and Virtual Asset Service Providers. Retrieved from https://www.fatf-gafi.org/publications/fatfrecommendations/documents/guidance-rba-virtual-assets-2021.html
- 6. Abdurakhmanova, Nodirakhon. "Issues of regulation and implementation of smart contracts in national legislation." (2022).
- 7. Ganne, E. (2018). Can Blockchain Revolutionize International Trade? World Trade Organization. Retrieved from https://www.wto.org/english/res\_e/publications\_e/blockchainandtrade\_e.htm
- 8. Abdurakhmanova, Nodirakhon. "Examining the Developing Legal Landscape for Healthcare Smart Contracts." International Conference on Legal Sciences. Vol. 1. No. 8. 2023.
- 9. International Organization for Standardization (ISO). (2021). ISO/TC 307 Blockchain and Distributed Ledger Technologies. Retrieved from https://www.iso.org/committee/6266604.html
- 10. Abdurakhmanova, Nodirakhon. "LEGAL BASIS OF USING SMART CONTRACTS IN THE FIELD OF CONSTRUCTION." science 1.1 (2023).
- 11. Nakamoto, S. (2008). Bitcoin: A Peer-to-Peer Electronic Cash System. Retrieved from https://bitcoin.org/bitcoin.pdf
- 12. ABDURAKHMANOVA, Nodirakhon. "LEGAL REGULATION OF USE OF CRYPTOCURRENCIES IN INTERNATIONAL TRANSACTIONS." World Bulletin of Management and Law 30 (2024): 80-81.
- Peters, G. W., Panayi, E., & Chapelle, A. (2015). Trends in Crypto-Currencies and Blockchain Technologies: A Monetary Theory and Regulation Perspective. Journal of Financial Perspectives, 3(3), 38-61.
- 14. Tapscott, D., & Tapscott, A. (2016). Blockchain Revolution: How the Technology Behind Bitcoin is Changing Money, Business, and the World. Penguin.
- 15. United Nations Commission on International Trade Law (UNCITRAL). (2020). Legal Issues Related to Smart Contracts and Distributed Ledger Technology (DLT). Retrieved from https://uncitral.un.org/en
- 16. Zohar, A. (2015). Bitcoin: Under the Hood. Communications of the ACM, 58(9), 104-113. https://doi.org/10.1145/2701411